

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,607	03/15/2004	Takuya Tsukagoshi	890050.469	1809	
500 7	7590 05/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS		
701 FIFTH AV	Æ			0.000	
SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/800,607	TSUKAGOSHI, TÄKUYA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leo Boutsikaris	2872	

	Leo Boutsikaris	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
_			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	., .		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii de entered and an e	expianation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			٠.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:		LEONIDAS BOU PRIMARY EXA	MINER /
		Leo Boutsikaris, Ph	

Primary Patent Examiner 5/25/2006

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the servo beam in Amble is not involved in recording the holographic data. The examiner respectfully notes that nowhere in the claims is it explicitly stated that the servo beam is used during the holographic recording process. It is only claimed that the servo beam is used for servo control to generate clock signals.